“Ethical and accountable leadership should drive the required change,” says AG Maluleke

PRETORIA – On Wednesday, 30 June, Auditor-General (AG) Tsakani Maluleke tabled a consolidated general report on the local government audit outcomes for the 2019–20 financial year, a full year after the completion of the financial year under review.

In tabling the report, the AG emphasised that the progressive and sustainable improvements required to prevent accountability failures, or to deal with them appropriately when they do occur, do not exist.

“Audit results under the outgoing administration have demonstrated little sign of improvement and we have observed the deteriorating state of local government. When it took over, the administration inherited 33 clean audits. Unfortunately, it has now regressed to only 27 clean audits. We therefore call on leadership to embrace their responsibility to drive change if we are to make a difference,” says Maluleke.

Impact of covid–19

In the midst of the covid–19 pandemic and in keeping with our commitment to President Ramaphosa, we performed a real–time audit of the financial management of the funding made available to local government for covid–19 initiatives. We report on this real–time audit in our third special report, which has been tabled together with the MFMA 2019–20 general report.

The minister of Finance granted local government a two–month concession on the deadline to submit financial statements, which severely affected our normal audit processes and timelines. In addition, we performed a real–time audit at the same time as our normal audits and the unavoidable challenges of auditing during a pandemic further contributed to our audits running into April 2021. We thank all our auditees for their patience and assistance during the audit.

2018–19 report

In the previous financial year, we delivered a very direct message that local government finances were under severe pressure and were not being managed as they should. In that report, titled Not much to go
around, yet not the right hands at the till, we warned that short-term solutions were not going to bring about the change needed and were often both costly and ineffective.

We therefore put forward the following solutions:

- Investing in preventative controls, which is more effective than having to deal with the impact of accountability failures, including financial loss, fraud and corruption, the misuse of public resources, and service delivery failures.
- Significantly improving monitoring, review and oversight by senior officials, municipal leaders and councils.
- Using our reports, briefings and engagements to identify key areas that need attention and would benefit most from implementing preventative controls, such as our status-of-record reviews, which alert municipalities to risks during the year and act as an early warning signal.
- Effecting consequences for accountability failures.

“Unfortunately, we have not seen evidence of these messages being taken to heart,” says Maluleke, “and hence we are reporting that poor audit outcomes remain prevalent, while we also recognise the net improvement year on year.”

“The continued calls for change we make to leadership are based on the overall state of financial and performance management, the material irregularities we identified and our observations in the provinces,” she adds.

Financial and performance management

The AG emphasises that disciplined financial and performance management is key to achieving improved audit outcomes and service delivery, which remains in a poor state.

Local government finances continued to be under severe pressure as a result of non-payment by municipal debtors, poor budgeting practices and ineffective financial management. The financial position of just over a quarter of municipalities is so dire that there is significant doubt that they will be able to continue meeting their obligations in the near future. Almost half of the municipalities are exhibiting indicators of financial strain, including low debt recovery, an inability to pay creditors and operating deficits.

The impact of municipal creditors not being paid is well-known – it severely affects Eskom and the water boards, but is even more devastating for smaller suppliers.

Maluleke adds that “local government loses billions of rand annually because of interest and penalties, which form a significant portion of the R3,47 billion of fruitless and wasteful expenditure reported in 2019–20”.
The AG has expressed concern that after all the years of the AGSA reporting shortcomings and making recommendations, municipalities have still not mastered the basics of financial reporting, with only 28% being able to submit quality financial statements for audit purposes. This highlights prevailing weaknesses in the processes that underpin effective financial management, including those relating to the preparation of the in-year financial reports necessary to monitor a municipality’s budget and expenditure.

“The cost of financial reporting has amounted to over R5 billion, based only on the salary cost of finance units and the cost of financial reporting consultants, which accounts for 18% of the total cost. Only 2% of municipalities used consultants to bridge the vacancy gap, while others paid consultants even though their finance units are well capacitated,” explains Maluleke.

What is equally concerning, she adds, is that the use of consultants was not necessarily effective. Sixty-four percent (64%) of municipalities did not provide adequate records, appointed consultants too late or did not manage the consultants’ work properly to benefit from their appointment – effectively outsourcing responsibilities.

Disclaimed municipalities are of great concern, please refer to chapter 4 of the general report

Despite all our previous messages, actions taken by oversight, initiatives implemented by national and provincial departments, and some municipalities even being placed under administration, 22 municipalities had received disclaimed opinions by 4 June 2021. Another four municipalities that had disclaimed opinions in the previous year have not yet submitted their financial statements for auditing.
At least five of the disclaimed municipalities have been under administration for two years or more. Despite this, we see a prolonged state of poor performance and therefore remain concerned about the effectiveness of the administration process.

The AG explains that these municipalities received funding during the year in the form of conditional grants and an equitable share of revenue raised nationally to enable them to operate and deliver essential services to the communities they serve. “However, we did not find auditable proof of what was done with the money from the time it was received to account for what was left in the bank at year-end,” she adds.

A lack of complete and proper records inevitably leads to unreliable financial reporting during the year and at year-end, which is likely to result in substantial harm to these municipalities and compromise their ability to deliver on their service mandate.

“We therefore notified the relevant municipal managers of 21 material irregularities in terms of the Public Audit Act. In their response, these municipal managers are required to clearly articulate what caused the material irregularity. They must also commit to actions that will prevent any further harm and ensure that there are consequences for those responsible for the dire state of their municipality. If they do not respond appropriately, or do not implement the actions to which they have committed, we will implement remedial action,” Maluleke continues.

The municipalities’ performance reporting was even worse than their financial reporting. It is not surprising that citizens experience poor service delivery from municipalities if less than a quarter of them could provide us with quality performance reports to audit. After addressing our findings, just under half of the municipalities managed to publish performance information that was reliable.

“We once again observed widespread non-compliance with legislation and reported material non-compliance at 86% of municipalities,” says Maluleke. “As in previous years, non-compliance with supply chain management legislation was prevalent, significantly contributing to the irregular expenditure of R26 billion. This amount is likely to be even higher, as just over a third of municipalities were qualified on the completeness of their disclosure or were still investigating the full extent of the irregular expenditure.”

The AG was also unable to audit contracts worth R1.43 billion as municipalities did not provide the required documentation and evidence to support the procurement processes. At year-end, the cumulative amount of irregular expenditure not dealt with stood at R79.22 billion, which demonstrates that municipalities do not implement consequence management. We call on the incoming administration to address this as a matter of priority. We view this in serious light and will not hesitate to take serious action should the accounting officers not act.

**Material irregularities (chapter 5 of the general report)**

The poor state of financial and performance management in local government, as evidenced by the audit outcomes, resulted in material financial losses at some municipalities and substantial harm to their ability to deliver on their mandate. The amendments to the Public Audit Act, which came into effect on 1 April 2019,
provide us with the mandate to report on these matters as material irregularities and to take action if municipal managers do not deal with them appropriately.

“In the second year of carrying out our expanded mandate in local government, we significantly expanded our work by implementing the process at fifty seven auditees, which was a significant increase from the nine auditees that were the subject of our first year of implementation. By 11 June 2021, we had issued notifications for 96 material irregularities against municipalities,” says Maluleke.

A total of 75 of the material irregularities related to non-compliance with legislation that resulted, or is likely to result, in a material financial loss totalling an estimated R2.04 billion. These material irregularities emerged in four key areas: procurement and payments, interest and penalties, revenue management, and investments and assets.

These are all matters that we have been reporting as areas of vulnerability for a number of years, including as part of this year’s audit outcomes. These are not complex issues; rather, they are some of the basic disciplines and processes that should be in place at municipalities, such as procuring at the best price, paying only for what was received, making payments on time, recovering the revenue owed to the state and safeguarding assets. Good preventative controls would have made all the difference in preventing or detecting these matters before they became so material.

“As mentioned earlier, we identified material irregularities where municipalities received disclaimed opinions. By 11 June 2021, we had issued notifications of these material irregularities to the municipal managers of 21 disclaimed municipalities, because we identified that these irregularities have caused substantial harm to their institutions. As the material irregularity process is continuous in nature and not affected by the audit cycle, we continue to assess the findings from our audits that could potentially be material irregularities,” Maluleke explains. “If confirmed, and once the municipal managers have been notified, we will report on these matters in our 2020-21 reports.”

Based on the responses to the notifications and the actions being taken to resolve the material irregularities reported in the previous year, we can conclude that we are starting to see signs of a behavioural change by most municipal managers towards responding to our findings in a decisive and timely manner. However, there are some instances where we have not seen the required response as outlined on page 102 of the report. The support of mayors and councils is crucial when it comes to resolving material irregularities, but this is an area where we have not yet observed any significant uptake or commitments.

Provinces

AG Maluleke emphasises that, despite the uniqueness of each province, the need for leadership to step up and turn the tide is transversal. Therefore, we call on provincial authorities to hold municipalities to account.

The detail on the provincial audit outcomes is contained in chapter 6 of the general report.
Eastern Cape
Although there are improvement in outcomes, the improvements will only be sustained through an improved focus on strengthening controls.

Free State
The lack of accountability in the province creates a perpetual disrespect for regulations, which leads to mismanagement of resources and lack for service delivery. The leadership needs to be decisive and take deliberate action if there is to be accountability.

Gauteng
There have been pockets of improvement; however, inadequate monitoring of preventative controls has led to stagnation of outcomes and increasing levels of unauthorised, irregular, and fruitless and wasteful expenditure.

KwaZulu-Natal
The audit outcomes in the province have stagnated because there is a lack of effective accountability and, as a result, consequence management is not consistently enforced. The province is encouraged to upscale the implementation of preventative controls and drive consistent consequence management.

Limpopo
The province recorded an improvement in audit outcomes, mainly driven by consultants. However, this improvement is not supported by an equal improvement in the key control environment at the municipalities and might therefore not be sustainable.

Mpumalanga
The poor state of internal controls, failure to enforce consequences for transgressions and weak oversight are at the centre of deteriorating accountability in the province.

Northern Cape
The benefit derived from implementing preventative controls is evident, but there is still a lot to be done to address undesirable audit outcomes in the province. A strong leadership tone will drive the required change.

North West
There is total neglect of internal control disciplines in the province, which has caused financial and operational collapse, as well as weakened governance processes, and has resulted in a lack of accountability.
Western Cape
The province has good financial accounting controls; however, there are inadequate controls to prevent compliance failures.

A call to leadership

There have been many calls from all quarters of the country to turn around the decline in local government. Barely a day goes by without shocking revelations of fraud and corruption, wastage, infrastructure deterioration, and municipalities that have lost the trust of the communities they serve. The most jarring revelations concern the impact of service delivery failures on the most vulnerable of our citizens – the poor.

“We have seen many initiatives, plans and strategies – even direct interventions by national and provincial government – but these have had little effect. Short-term solutions such as consultants, administration and additional monitoring, rules and capacitation are just not working,” says Maluleke. “As an audit office, we have also done everything within our mandate to support municipalities; we have even taken on a more direct role in the accountability process through the material irregularity process.”

She emphasises that “the audit office is convinced that if municipal leaders at both administrative and political level, supported by their provincial leadership, are fully committed to turning around local government and moving it towards the capable, efficient, ethical and development-oriented institutions envisaged by the constitution, improvements are bound to follow. We have seen great results where leadership has taken definite strides towards a better future for the communities they serve”.

We therefore believe that the political and administrative leadership has a collective role to play in effecting this change. The provincial leadership needs to work together with municipalities and focus on:

- ensuring that leadership sets a tone of ethical and courageous leadership, service-orientation, good governance and accountability
- capacitating and stabilising administration
- enabling and insisting on a strong control environment with practical, automated and routinely executed internal controls that prevent financial loss, wastage and transgressions, and significantly improve financial and performance management and reporting
- implementing swift, consistent and appropriate consequences for accountability failures.

We remain committed to working tirelessly within our new mandate to strengthen financial and performance management in local government, emphasising the need for accountability and getting the basics right.
We encourage councils, Parliament, provincial legislatures, as well as the political and administrative leadership, to play their part effectively to ensure accountability for government spending and improvement in the lives of the citizens of this country. Ethical and accountable leadership should drive the desired change.

*Special report on the financial management of local government’s covid-19 initiatives*

At the same time as tabling the general report, AG Maluleke released the results of the real-time audit on local government expenditure on covid-19–related initiatives.

This is the third special audit report on the financial management of the relief funding government made available in response to the pandemic.

We performed the audit at 43 municipalities that were selected based on:

- the portion of the funding that municipalities were paid – we included all municipalities that had been paid more than R16 million each from the conditional grants allocation by the end of August 2020
- the top three contributors to irregular expenditure in each province, based on the irregular expenditure incurred for the 2018-19 financial year
- the municipalities identified through our environmental scanning of media reports, Special Investigating Unit (SIU) reports and Public Protector reports.

For the remaining 214 municipalities not selected for the real-time audit, we audited and reported on the use of funds from April to June 2020 as part of the normal 2019-20 audit cycle.

*Striking resemblance between the two reports*

The AG reports that the findings from the real-time audit her office performed on the covid-19 initiatives "shows a striking similarity to the poor outcomes and other findings we are reporting in the 2019-20 general report. The impact of compromised control environments and poor financial and performance management was even more pronounced in the midst of a pandemic, when vulnerable citizens relied on local authorities to keep them safe from harm”. She adds that while emergency response and quick actions were required, these were not supposed to be “at the expense of careful planning and the disciplined execution of controls that prevent transgressions, loss, fraud and project failures”.

*Key findings and audit observations at a glance*

The municipalities that were subjected to the real-time audit were allocated a combined R14.4 billion out of the R23,937 billion that was announced for the municipal response to covid-19. Of this amount, 42% had been spent by 31 March 2021. We made the following observations regarding the funding that had been spent:
- It is difficult to plan and operate in an emergency when sufficient information is not always available and swift action is required. The impact of weaknesses in needs assessment and demand planning were, however, amplified in these circumstances. Where funds were used for quarantine sites and temporary shelters, inadequate planning by some municipalities resulted in the facilities being over or under used.

- Similarly, when it came to providing emergency water and additional sanitation, community needs were not adequately assessed and delivery planned according to those needs.

- The procurement of personal protective equipment (PPE) also bore testament to the haphazard manner in which municipalities responded to the crisis – we found little evidence of proper needs analyses being conducted before procurement took place, resulting in either insufficient or excess PPE being procured.

- Poor workmanship, project delays and non-adherence to infrastructure-related requirements were observed on water and sanitation projects, and on projects related to providing shelters for the homeless. The water tanks were not always filled and, where sanitation facilities were provided, they were not serviced regularly, resulting in the required impact not being achieved.

- When it came to the management, storage and use of PPE, we found that the same deficiencies we previously reported in relation to the education and health sectors were also prevalent at municipalities.

Says Maluleke: “In our report, we once again highlight the significant deficiencies in the procurement and contract management processes, and report on the inadequate controls meant to ensure that payments are only made for goods and services that are delivered at the right time, price and quality. We are particularly concerned about unfairness in the awarding of government business and that sufficient care was not taken to protect against overpricing, as we identified a number of instances where municipalities paid excessive prices for goods and services”.

She adds that municipalities did not respond to the increased risk of fraud in a crisis situation by adjusting their fraud risk management processes and implementing strong preventative controls. As a result, auditors identified irregularities, poor internal controls, and indicators of potential fraud.

**Procurement documents not provided**

The AG says audits were also frustrated by payment and procurement documentation not being provided for auditing. This is a recurring theme in local government, where our ability to audit is sometimes limited by claims that documents are missing or by a lack of response to our requests. As we did with our first two special reports, we will share our findings, fraud risk indicators (such as those records that were not provided for audit) and data analyses with the Fusion Centre, which has the investigative and law-enforcement abilities needed to dig deeper and follow through on these results.
“With few exceptions, municipalities missed an opportunity to display the responsive, caring and ethical leadership required in a time of disaster. When you are responsible for people’s lives, every rand should be spent with care. However, in the midst of the pandemic, municipalities continued to plan poorly and misappropriate the funds made available to them,” notes the AG.

“We are particularly concerned about the municipalities’ inability to produce records to support the current spending as the covid-19 relief spend has occurred recently and therefore it should not be a struggle to produce these documents. We will continue to audit the covid-19 funding as part of our normal annual audit, including at those municipalities not selected for this real–time audit, and will report further observations in our next general report for local government.”

**Conclusion – moving towards an improved local government**

Maluleke concludes, “Finding and implementing sustainable solutions for the crisis in the municipal landscape should be a common goal for all in local government. The relevant role–players should work together to strengthen the capacity, processes and controls of municipalities, which will enable credible financial and performance reporting, compliance with key legislation, sound financial management, and improved service delivery. As the audit office, we are committed to continuing to play our part in improving local government audit outcomes”.

We reiterate our message that “ethical and accountable leadership should drive the required change”, and we call on the incoming leadership to take this message to heart if they aim to realise improved outcomes.

End.
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