



Local government audit outcomes 2024-25 MFMA

Audit outcomes for financial year ended 30 June 2025

Status of material irregularities at 31 January 2026



MISSION


The Auditor-General of South Africa has a constitutional mandate and, as the supreme audit institution of South Africa, exists to strengthen our country's democracy by enabling oversight, accountability and governance in the public sector through auditing, thereby building public confidence.

VISION

To be recognised by all our stakeholders as a relevant supreme audit institution that enhances public sector accountability.

Implement and maintain **institutionalised controls** to ensure leadership and officials **behave ethically, comply with legislation and act in best interest** of institution, **avoiding conflicts of interest**

Demonstrate commitment to improving lived realities of South Africans by **delivering on legislated mandate and strategic objectives aligned to people's needs**, being **financially sustainable**, and **avoiding harm to public and public sector institutions**



Provide public, oversight, auditors and other stakeholders with **timely, relevant and reliable information on institution's finances, performance, use of resources and compliance with legislation**

Be responsive to public, oversight and other stakeholders through **reporting and providing answers on mandated responsibilities fulfilled, actions taken and decisions made**; ensure **swift consequences** for transgressions and poor performance




AUDITOR - GENERAL
SOUTH AFRICA

Auditing to build public confidence



All have a role to play in accountability ecosystem



Audit outcomes

	Clean audit	Unqualified with findings	Qualified with findings	Adverse with findings	Disclaimed with findings	Outstanding audit	
2020-21	41	100	83	4	29	0	257
Last year of previous administration							
2023-24	41	99	95	7	15	0	257
2024-25	39	117	86	5	8	2	257
Cut-off date: 30 April 2026	15%	46%	33%	2%	3%	1%	

Movement from previous year and related budget


39 ▲ (R37,01bn) | 201 ► (R439,04bn) | 15 ▼ (R143,17bn)

Movement from 2020-21 and related budget

72 ▲ (R82,35bn) | 145 ► (R387,36bn) | 38 ▼ (R149,51bn)

Non-submission of consolidated financial statements – Maluti-A-Phofung Local Municipality (Free State)

Late submission of financial statements – Nala Local Municipality (Free State)



Audit outcomes – per province

EASTERN CAPE

2020-21	4	16	14	1	4	0	
2024-25	8	12	17	0	2	0	17 ▲ 12 ► 10 ▼

MPUMALANGA

2020-21	4	7	5	1	3	0	
2024-25	1	12	7	0	0	0	7 ▲ 9 ► 4 ▼

FREE STATE

2020-21	0	6	13	0	4	0	
2024-25	0	7	11	0	3	2	3 ▲ 16 ► 2 ▼

NORTHERN CAPE

2020-21	5	5	17	0	4	0	
2024-25	1	11	18	0	1	0	8 ▲ 17 ► 6 ▼

GAUTENG

2020-21	2	7	1	1	0	0	
2024-25	2	5	4	0	0	0	3 ▲ 5 ► 3 ▼

NORTH WEST

2020-21	0	3	9	1	9	0	
2024-25	0	6	12	3	1	0	12 ▲ 7 ► 3 ▼

KWAZULU-NATAL

2020-21	3	35	13	0	3	0	
2024-25	4	42	7	1	0	0	14 ▲ 37 ► 3 ▼

WESTERN CAPE

2020-21	22	5	3	0	0	0	
2024-25	21	4	3	1	1	0	2 ▲ 22 ► 6 ▼

LIMPOPO

2020-21	1	16	8	0	2	0	
2024-25	2	18	7	0	0	0	6 ▲ 20 ► 1 ▼

Audit outcomes of metros – consolidated level

2020-21	1	5	2	0	0	0	8
Last year of previous administration							
2023-24	1	3	4	0	0	0	8
2024-25	0	3	5	0	0	0	8

0% 37% 63% 0% 0% 0%

- City of Cape Town ▼
- eThekweni Metro ►
- City of Johannesburg * ►
- City of Johannesburg (Consolidated) ►
- Buffalo City Metro ►
- City of Tshwane Metro ►
- City of Ekurhuleni Metro ▼
- Mangaung Metro ►
- Nelson Mandela Bay Metro ►


* Stand alone audit: City of Johannesburg (City) ▼

Movement from previous year and related budget


0	▲	
6	►	(R200,58bn)
2	▼	(R135,40bn)

Movement from 2020-21 and related budget

0	▲	
5	►	(R219,07bn)
3	▼	(R116,90bn)



Audit outcomes



R622,56 billion
budget
managed by
257 municipalities

(Budget based on estimated expenditure budget for 2024-25)



117 municipalities with unqualified audit opinion with findings

High levels of non-compliance

- 110 (94%) repeat material non-compliance with key legislation
- R14,06bn irregular expenditure

Reporting that is not credible

- 96 (82%) material misstatements in financial statements submitted for auditing
- 88 (75%) material misstatements in performance reports submitted for auditing

Poor financial health

- R13,24bn unauthorised expenditure
- R1,90bn fruitless and wasteful expenditure
- 56 with concerning or unfavourable financial health assessments
- 16 with going concern uncertainties

Lack of consequences

- 34 (29%) repeat material non-compliance with legislation on consequence management
- R18,15bn unauthorised, irregular and fruitless and wasteful expenditure not dealt with

162 material irregularities – 31% of all MIs


- R5,45bn estimated financial loss
- 32 on substantial harm to general public

Complacency evident from stagnation in category



Quality of financial statements

– before and after audit



Common misstatements in submitted financial statements and reasons

1 Revenue and receivables:

Material misstatements before audit: 64% (162 municipalities)
Material misstatements after audit (qualifications): 31% (80 municipalities)

Incomplete or incorrect billing, unsupported revenue recognised, misclassification of revenue, and overstated or poorly disclosed receivables due to weak debt-management and impairment processes


Impact: Inability to accurately assess own-revenue and budget effectively. Reduced capacity to fund service delivery, manage and sustain cash flow and maintain financial stability.

2 Fixed and movable assets

Material misstatements before audit: 51% (129 municipalities)
Material misstatements after audit (qualifications): 21% (54 municipalities)

Poor asset record-keeping, supporting schedules for assets not maintained or updated timeously, delays in asset verification, and inadequate reviews done

Impact: Inability to verify existence or value of assets, which misstates the financial position, impacts maintenance and renewal planning and increases risk of asset loss or misuse.



Number of municipalities that used consultants and consultant costs	2014-15	2020-21	2023-24	2024-25
	179 – R586,20m	230 – R1 444,65m	225 – R1 520,42m	225 – R1 606,72m

2024-25 use of financial reporting consultants

Consultant cost is **10% of total financial reporting cost** of R15,43bn
Recurring appointment at 153 municipalities (68%) (2020-21: 53%)

Reasons for appointment of consultants

- Skills and vacancies – 93 municipalities (41%) (2020-21: 33%)
- Skills – 119 municipalities (53%) (2020-21: 61%)
- Vacancies – 13 municipalities (6%) (2020-21: 6%)

Common findings on consultants


- No consultancy reduction plan in place – 44 (20%)
- Conditions or clauses relating to transfer of skills not included in contract – 24 (11%)
- No evidence that skills transfer or training programmes took place – 51 (23%)
- Consultants appointed without conducting needs assessment/ gap analysis and project plan – 43 (19%)
- Measures to monitor transfer of skills not implemented – 32 (14%)

Consultant cost by type – 2024-25

- ◆ **Preparation/review of financial statements** – R0,53bn (33%) (2020-21: 28%)
- ◆ **Asset management** – R0,51bn (32%) (2020-21: 34%)
- ◆ **Accounting services** – R0,13bn (8%) (2020-21: 5%)
- ◆ **Tax services** – R0,34bn (21%) (2020-21: 26%)
- ◆ **Other services** – R0,10bn (6%) (2020-21: 7%)

61% (137) of financial statements submitted for auditing included material misstatements in area of consultant work

Reasons misstatements in area of consultant work were not prevented



Quality of performance reports

– before and after audit

Overall



Material findings on performance planning, management and reports

Compliance findings

103 (40%) had material findings on **compliance with legislation on strategic planning and performance management**

Most common finding: Performance management systems and related controls were not maintained or were inadequate at 84 municipalities (33%)


Material findings on performance reports

86 (34%) Reported achievements **not reliable**

95 (37%) Indicators and targets not **useful**

13 (5%) **Excluded indicators** that measure performance on core mandated functions

Impact: Hinders oversight and accountability, compromises proper planning and decision-making, lack of transparency and/ or unreliable information to council and public on service delivery




Material findings on compliance with key legislation

High levels of material non-compliance in all areas we audit


Compliance area	Municipalities with material non-compliance – 2024-25
Material misstatements or limitations in submitted financial statements	194 (76%)
Prevention of unauthorised, irregular and/or fruitless and wasteful expenditure	184 (72%)
Procurement and contract management	152 (60%)
Expenditure management	138 (54%)
Consequence management	131 (51%)
Environmental management (audited at metros)	4 (50%)
Strategic planning and performance management	103 (40%)
Human resource management	82 (32%)
Revenue management	80 (31%)
Asset management	69 (27%)
Utilisation of conditional grants	50 (21%)
Internal audit	41 (16%)
Audit committees	37 (15%)
Financial statements and annual report	31 (12%)

2024-25 audit results overall and per province



Irregular expenditure incurred

(R145,21 billion over four years)




◆ Municipalities ◆ Municipal entities

— Number of auditees with irregular expenditure

Metro amount Includes municipal entities of metros

Nature of irregular expenditure over administration




Planning, funding and contractor appointments

- **Findings on procurement of contractors - 18 (86%)** of 21 projects audited
- **Weak financial controls and grant management** to ensure effective grant spending on infrastructure projects. Material non-compliance on grant spending - 21 municipalities
- **Inadequate institutional capability** to manage infrastructure projects

Implementation of projects

Projects with **findings**: 101 (78%) including:

- **Delays**: 72 (56%) with average delays of 25 months
- **Cost management** and losses: 70 (54%)
- **Poor-quality** work: 30 (23%)
- Not put to use / **underutilised**: 8 (6%)



Monitoring and oversight

- Poor maintenance and Inconsistent monitoring of wastewater treatment works to **protect public health**
- **Contract management** not done or not effective
- **Late payments** to contractors and **contractual conditions** not complied with
- Insufficient oversight by **departments transferring grants**

Corrective action and consequences

- **Lack of consequences** for poor performance and project failures – contractors and professional service providers
- Delays in **appointing replacement contractors** after termination of contracts
- **Follow-up audits of projects** show little improvement in weaknesses previously reported

What we found



Unfunded budgets – 116 municipalities (45%)
For 4 consecutive years – 77 municipalities (66%)



Unauthorised expenditure – R36,05 bn – 177 municipalities (69%),
99% related to overspending



Total deficit – R17,41 bn, 98 municipalities (41%)

Of the 116 municipalities with **unfunded budgets**:

- 99 (85%) incurred **unauthorised expenditure**
- 72 municipalities assessed incurred **deficits**



Revenue losses – consumers **not billed**, debt **not collected**, **distribution losses** and **inadequate indigent management processes**



Wrote off **R62,12bn** in debt due to them




Not complying with conditions of **Eskom debt-relief programme** – 72% of participating municipalities

Impact

- Going concern uncertainties** at 62 municipalities (24%)
>> 33 municipalities for four or more years in position
- 123 municipalities (51%)
– **net current liabilities** > net current assets
- 174 municipalities (72%)
– short-term debts > **available cash**
- Average time to pay creditors** – 113 days
- Insufficient spending on **repairs and maintenance** resulting in **infrastructure deterioration**
>> Average of 3% of value of assets 238 municipalities (93%) spent < norm
- Arrears (including interest) owed to Eskom** (R70,07bn) and **water boards** (R25,85bn)

Overall financial health assessment



◆ Good ◆ Concerning ◆ Unfavourable

Financial losses due to:

- Poor payment practices
- Unfair/uncompetitive procurement processes
- No/limited benefit received from money spent
- Weaknesses in management of projects
- Fraud committed by officials
- Water losses (R14,73bn) and electricity losses (R21,63bn)

R6,36bn

fruitless and wasteful expenditure
(2023-24: **R5,37bn**)



Material irregularities – nature, status and impact

516 MIs identified on non-compliance and suspected fraud, resulting in:

305

Material **financial loss** (estimated R10,23bn)

96

Substantial **harm to public sector institutions**

110

Substantial **harm to general public**

5

Misuse of material public resources due to under-utilisation

Status



* More than one action taken at some MIs

Impact made



Address persistent accountability ecosystem failures at multiple levels

1

Municipal administration

2

Governance failures -
Mayor and council

3

Provincial government and legislature

Mayors, councils, national and provincial executive authorities and legislatures should prioritise:

- 1 **Build capable institutions with coordinated intergovernmental support** that strengthens governance, stabilises municipalities, and ensures effective use of internal audit and audit committees
- 2 **Professionalise and capacitate** local government by supporting and implementing local government professionalisation initiatives through compliant appointments, targeted skills development and retention of scarce skills
- 3 Instil a **culture of ethics and accountability** through a shared vision of **responsiveness and consequence management** and **support for the material irregularity process** which will contribute to the protection of resources and strengthening of institutional integrity

Calibre and capability of new leaders will determine the success of 7th administration

- 1 **New political leadership**
Political parties to prioritise the **capability and integrity of the candidates** put forward – councillors and mayors
- 2 SALGA, DCOG, National Treasury to ensure high-quality **induction for councillors**
- 3 **Stability in the administration** must be maintained



THANK YOU

Stay in touch with the AGSA



Auditor-General South Africa



www.agsa.co.za

Illuminating insights for a better South Africa.

